


ISSN 0036-2131

SABAH SOCIETY JOURNAL

VOLUME 20

2003



Obsidian Sourcing at Bukit Tengkorak, Sabah, Malaysia

Stephen Chia

Centre For Archaeological Research Malaysia
Universiti Sains Malaysia
11800 Penang
Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

In 1994–1995, archaeological research was undertaken by a research team from the Centre for Archaeological Research Malaysia with cooperation from the Sabah Museum at Bukit Tengkorak, a Neolithic site in Semporna, Sabah (Fig. 1). Geologically, Bukit Tengkorak forms part of the rim of a 2 kilometre-wide volcanic crater, surrounded by numerous isolated hills and mountains, most of them representing sites of extinct volcanoes ranging from Pliocene to Quaternary in age (HD Tjia, personal communication 1996, Kirk 1962, Lee 1970). Two seasons of excavations, over a period of 5 weeks, were carried out at two volcanic outcrops near the summit of Bukit Tengkorak, approximately 600 feet about sea level. A total of 6-one metre trenches (G17, G19, J19, R36, S37, and T38), were excavated until the base of the undisturbed cultural deposits, about 150 cm in maximum depth (Fig. 2). The top layer (0–20 cm) of trenches G17, G19, and J19 appeared to be disturbed but the subsequent layers contained undisturbed artefacts which were excavated in arbitrary controlled spits of 5 cm deep per spit or level. More than 6 cubic metres of soil was excavated and sieved through 0.5 cm and 0.2 cm meshes. A broad range of archaeological materials was recovered and they include large quantities of pottery sherds, chert and agate microliths, obsidian flakes, polished adzes, a bark cloth beater, and some shell or bone artefacts. The abundant food remains, mostly marine molluscs and fish bones (as well as some terrestrial animal bones) are indicative of a maritime-oriented society at Bukit Tengkorak.

Five radiocarbon dates from the 1994–1995 fieldwork placed the site between 4300 BC and possibly 50 BC. All the radiocarbon dates are listed in




Fig. 1. Map of Bukit Tengkorak in Semporna, Sabah.




Fig. 2. Plan of the excavated trenches at Bukit Tengkorak, Sabah.

Table 1. Four of the samples are charcoal and one (Beta-744448) is of *Anadara* shells. The charcoal samples were calibrated with the Stuiver INT93 Cal program (1993). Out of the five samples, one (Beta-744447) is modern; confirming that the top layers (0–20 cm) of trench G17 is disturbed. As such, the absolute date for the last use of the site is still unknown but given the absence of metal artefacts, stoneware, and porcelain at the top layers, the site is probably abandoned before 2000 BP or around 50 BC¹. On the basis of the radiocarbon dates, soil stratigraphy, and the temporal distribution of artefact types, three occupational phases: Early Phase (4300–1200 BC); Middle Phase (1200–900 BC); and Late Phase (900–50 BC), were defined at Bukit Tengkorak.

THE OBSIDIAN ARTEFACTS

A total of 552 obsidian artefacts, weighing about 145 grams, were recovered during our excavations at Bukit Tengkorak in 1994–95. The obsidian came in a variety of colours, translucency, lustre, and texture; from very glassy, highly translucent, and black in colour to less glassy, totally opaque, and grey in colour. They occurred mostly in the form of tiny flakes, weighing below 5.6 grams and measuring less than 2 cm in maximum dimension. The obsidian assemblage was classified into four main classes; core fragments (3%), utilised flakes (27%), retouched flakes (6%), and waste flakes (64%)—Figure 3, Tables 2 & 3.

The flaking technology was directed at producing very small flake tools instead of blades. This is evident from the large number of utilised and retouched pieces. Only a small percentage (14%) of these utilised or retouched pieces may be considered blades, with their length/width ratio exceeding 2. The lack of negative blade scars on the core fragments also indicates that the cores were not originally designed to produce blades. The majority of the utilised or retouched pieces are very small, averaging about 1.1 mm in length and about 0.3 grams in weight. It is most probable that these pieces need to be hafted, as they would be too small to be manipulated solely by hand. Some of the retouched flakes have secondary flake scars along the sides and ends of the flake.

The majority of the waste flakes are block-fractured flakes (72%) while the rest were conchoidal flakes (28%). The conchoidal flakes have closely

¹ Despite the lack of a well-established date for the first use of metal in island Southeast Asia, a date of about 2,000 BP is generally accepted for the arrival of bronze and iron artifacts, particularly in Java, Bali, the Talaud islands, and Sabah (Soejono, 1979; Bronson and Glover, 1984; Bellwood, 1985).



Fig. 3. Obsidian artefacts excavated from Bukit Tengkorak.

Table 1. Radiocarbon dates from Bukit Tengkorak.

Lab #	Conventional Age (BP)	Calibrated Age (BC)*	Material	Notes
Beta-74447	101.0 ± 0.9%M	—	charcoal	Trench G17, layer 1 spit 4, 15–20 cms
Beta-74448	3190 ± 60	1190 to 860**	<i>Anadara</i> shells	Trench G17, layer 3 Spit 11, 50–55 cms
Beta-83783***	2940 ± 50	1285 to 990	charcoal	Trench G17, layer 4 Spit 15, 70–75 cms
Beta-83784	2650 ± 90	980 to 745 700 to 530	charcoal	Trench G17, layer 5 Spit 20, 95–100 cms
Beta-83785	5330 ± 80	4340 to 3975	charcoal	Trench G17, layer 8 Spit 26, 125–130 cms

* Cal BC dates (2 sigma, 95% probability)

** This marine shell sample has been calibrated according to Stuiver & Braziunas (1993)

*** This sample was analysed using AMS (Lawrence Livermore)

Table 2. Vertical Distribution Of Obsidian In Trenches G17, G19 And J19.

Spit	Class*						Total	
	UF	UCF	UFF	RF	CF	WF	No.	%
1	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.46
2	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.23
3	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.23
4	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.00
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.00
6	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.00
7	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.23
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.00
9	1	-	-	1	-	-	2	0.46
10	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	0.46
11	3	-	-	-	-	3	6	1.39
12	8	-	1	2	-	30	41	9.47
13	5	-	1	-	-	14	20	4.62
14	7	1	3	2	1	27	41	9.47
15	13	2	2	2	4	32	55	12.70
16	6	-	3	1	1	41	52	12.01
17	9	1	1	4	1	20	36	8.31
18	4	1	3	2	2	15	27	6.24
19	4	1	1	2	-	24	32	7.39
20	5	-	1	1	-	15	22	5.08
21	6	-	1	-	-	17	24	5.54
22	5	-	-	2	1	10	18	4.16
23	1	-	-	1	-	5	7	1.62
24	7	1	2	3	1	23	37	8.55
25	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	0.46
26	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.46
27	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.00
28	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	0.46
Total	91	7	20	23	11	281	433	100.00
%	21.02	1.62	4.62	5.31	2.54	64.90	100.0	

*Class:

UF	Utilised Flake	RF	Retouched Flake
UCF	Utilised Core Fragment	CF	Core Fragment
UFF	Utilised Flake Fragment	WF	Waste Flake

Table 3. Vertical Distribution Of Obsidian In Trenches R36, S37 And T38.

Spit	Class*						Total	
	UF	UCF	UFF	RF	CF	WF	No.	%
1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.84
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.00
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.00
4	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	0.84
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0.00
6	2	-	-	-	1	-	3	2.52
7	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	0.84
8	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	0.84
9	2	-	-	-	-	3	5	4.20
10	6	1	2	-	1	10	20	16.81
11	-	-	1	1	-	9	11	9.24
12	2	-	1	1	2	15	21	17.65
13	2	-	-	1	-	5	8	6.72
14	1	-	-	-	2	6	9	7.56
15	5	-	-	2	-	19	26	21.85
16	3	-	-	-	-	6	9	7.56
17	-	-	-	2	-	1	3	2.52
Total	24	1	4	9	6	75	119	100.00
%	20.17	0.84	3.36	7.56	5.04	63.03	100.0	

*Class:

UF Utilised Flake

RF

Retouched Flake

UCF Utilised Core Fragment

CF

Core Fragment

UFF Utilised Flake Fragment

WF

Waste Flake

similar butt thicknesses, averaging about 0.27 mm, showing consistency in flake removals. A large number of the retouched and utilised pieces (60%) were made of block-fractured flakes (although these flakes are less preferred by most toolmakers). The low frequency of cortical flakes (4.5%) suggested that the cores had their cortexes removed, probably before they were brought to the site. The core fragments are very small, measuring less than 2.5 cm in maximum diameter and weighing not more than 5.61 grams. All these plus the small amount of obsidian (145 grams) recovered during the excavations seem to indicate that obsidian is scarce and hence the desire to make full use of this exotic and valuable material.

OBSIDIAN SOURCING STUDY

During our 1994–95 fieldwork, geological surveys were carried out in and around the Semporna Peninsula in order to locate the sources the rock materials used to make the stone artefacts at Bukit Tengkorak. Several sources of chert were located near the site but no sources of obsidian were found during the brief geological surveys. Since obsidian is known to be widely exchanged or traded over long-distances during the Lapita period (1600 BC) in the southwest Pacific, it was decided that a sourcing study be carried out to see if the origin of the obsidian artefacts can be traced to any of the 66 known sources in the southwest Pacific and some Indonesian islands which have been chemically characterised and catalogued by J.R. Bird and his colleagues (Duerden *et al.* 1980, Bird *et al.* 1981a, 1981b, Smith *et al.* 1977, Ambrose *et al.* 1981, Summerhayes *et al.* 1993). Over the last 20 years or so, research in the southwest Pacific had also shown that allocating obsidian artefacts to geographical sources could be successfully done using a variety of elemental analysis (Smith *et al.* 1977, Bird *et al.* 1981a, 1981b, Smith 1982, Duerden *et al.* 1987, Green 1987).

Obsidian Analysis: A total of 30 of the 552 pieces of obsidian artefacts excavated from Bukit Tengkorak were analysed using a fully automated Cameca MBX electron microprobe with wavelength dispersive X-ray spectrometers at the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences at Harvard University. These pieces were selected from obsidian artefacts recovered




Fig. 4. Plot of Al₂O₃ vs SiO₂ of obsidian artefacts from Bukit Tengkorak.




Fig. 5. Obsidian artefacts from Bukit Tengkorak chemically traced to sources in Talasea, New Britain, 3500 km away.

from the undisturbed spits 12 to 26 (60–130 cm) in trenches G17 and J19, radiocarbon dated to between 900 and 4300 BC (Table 4). Obsidian artefacts with different visible characteristics such as colour, translucency, lustre, and texture, that might indicate different sources, were selected. This is also done in order to reduce sample bias toward selecting obsidian pieces produced from a single piece of core. Based on all these criteria, a total of 30 samples; 15 from trench G17 and another 15 from trench J19, were selected for analysis. The electron microprobe analysis was chosen as a method of choice mainly because it is minimally destructive (only 1 mm size sample is needed) and is a relatively fast and accurate method (1–2% accuracy) for determining the selected range of elements within the required detection limits of 0.01 to 0.1 weight percent, depending upon the element and composition of the sample. The selected range of elements is namely Si, Al, Fe, Mg, Ca, K, P, Mn, and Ba. These elements are among the most distinctive elements that have been found to be useful in distinguishing the 66 known obsidian sources in the Pacific and its neighbouring regions (Green and Bird 1989: 92).

Results and Discussion: The results of the electron microprobe analysis show three different compositional groups, suggesting that the artefacts were made using obsidian obtained from three different sources. The microprobe data are presented in Table 5. Two dimensional x-y cluster analyses are also used to examine the data using combinations of two different oxides. The results reveal at least three distinct compositional groups (Figure 4). Another noteworthy observation from this study is that each of the two main compositional groups, A and B, contained obsidian samples with distinct visual types. In group A, all the obsidian samples are generally very glassy, highly translucent, and black in colour while group B has obsidian that are less glassy, less translucent, and grey to black in colour. The single sample in Group C is less glassy, totally opaque, and black in colour.

The three compositional groups were then carefully compared with the database of 66 known sources in the Pacific and the neighbouring regions. Based on the weight percent value of eight elements (Si, Al, Ti, Fe, Ca, Na, K, and Mn), each of the compositional groups were compared with corresponding elemental weight percent values of the 66 known sources presented by Duerden *et al.* (1987, Table 1) and a recent updated catalogue on Pacific obsidian source composition provided by Dr. Roger Bird.

The results of the comparisons showed that compositional group A matches chemically with the Kutau/Bao sub-source at Talasea in New Britain, western Melanesia. Group B matches the few obsidian artefacts from the Talaud Islands, for which no geological source has yet been identified. The single obsidian artefact-representing group C appears to match obsidian sources in the Admiralty Islands (Solang, Umrei, and Wekwok)—see Table 6.

Table 4. List Of Obsidian Samples Selected For Sourcing Study.

Bil	Trench	Reg. No	Spit	Quadrant	Length*	Type	Class
1	G17	21	13	4	2	2	2
2	G17	356	14	3	1	2	7
3	G17	3,133	15	1	2	2	5
4	G17	3,130	15	1	1	2	2
5	G17	3,112	16	4	2	5	4
6	G17	2,637	17	4	2	2	5
7	G17	2,638	17	4	1	2	1
8	G17	1,231	18	3	1	3	4
9	G17	1,240	18	2	2	1	1
10	G17	2,403	19	2	2	2	1
11	G17	928	22	2	1	2	3
12	G17	2,648	24	4	1	3	1
13	G17	949	24	2	1	4	4
14	G17	2,151	25	2	0	4	3
15	G17	1,383	26	2	1	3	1
16	J19	135	12	1	1	3	2
17	J19	408	12	4	1	1	1
18	J19	411	12	4	1	1	1
19	J19	445	12	4	1	2	3
20	J19	3,606	12	3	1	2	4
21	J19	3,261	15	3	2	6	3
22	J19	3,049	15	4	1	6	6
23	J19	369	14		1	6	3
24	J19	342	14	4	1	2	1
25	J19	354	14	4	1	2	1
26	J19	3,215	23	2	1	1	3
27	J19	3,199	23	1	1	4	1
28	J19	2,539	24	1	1	2	2
29	J19	2,538	24	1	2	4	6
30	J19	2,521	24	2	1	3	2

Note: * All measurements of length in cm

Type: 1. Very glassy, highly translucent, slightly banded, and black in colour.
 2. Very glassy, highly translucent, and black in colour.
 3. Glassy, less translucent, and black in colour.
 4. Less glassy, less translucent, slightly banded, and grey to black.

5. Less glassy, less translucent, and grey to black in colour.
6. Less glassy, totally opaque, and grey to black in colour.

Class:	1. Unmodified flake	4. Utilised flake fragment
	2. Utilised flake	5. Unmodified spall
	3. Unmodified flake fragment	6. Retouched flake

In terms of stratigraphic levels, the majority (15 pieces or 88%) of the Talasean obsidian come from the upper levels 12–19 while most (8 pieces or 64%) of the group B (Talaud artefact group) come from the lower levels 23–26. Chronologically, this change in the relative importance of obsidian sources with time seems to suggest that the unknown obsidian source represented by group B (Talaud artefact group) was an important obsidian source at around 4300 BC but became less important at around 1200–900 BC. The Talasea source, on the other hand, appears to be important from 1200 to 900 BC.

CONCLUSIONS

The sourcing study of the obsidian artefacts at Bukit Tengkorak revealed that the prehistoric inhabitants of Bukit Tengkorak obtained obsidian from four different sources at around 4300 to 900 BC to produce small flake tools. The majority of the obsidian artefacts, dated around 900–1200 BC, have been chemically traced to the Kutau/Bao obsidian sub-source in Talasea, New Britain. This connection, over a distance of about 3500 km, represents the longest traded obsidian in the world for this time period (Fig. 5). It also revealed the existence of long-distance sea trading or exchange networks, which extended in a westward direction from Melanesia to as far as Borneo in Southeast Asia at around 1200 BC. The most intriguing question arises as to the possible source(s) of the older obsidian artefacts, dated between 4300 and 1200 BC at Bukit Tengkorak, which have yet to be traced to any geological source in our sourcing study. Could the obsidian originate from unknown sources in other areas in Borneo, Indonesia, the Philippines or perhaps the Pacific region? The presence of the obsidian artefacts also opened up the possibility that advance seafaring trading networks or exchange could have existed in island Southeast Asia and the Pacific region as earlier as 1200 to 4300 BC.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research formed part of my PhD work at Bukit Tengkorak, which was completed in 1997. I would like to thank Universiti Sains Malaysia, Muzium

Table 5. Electron microprobe data for obsidian samples from Bukit Tengkorak.

Group	Sample	Level	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	TiO ₂	Fe ₂ O ₃	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	P ₂ O ₃	MnO	BaO	Total
A	J19/445	12	76.63	12.5	0.22	1.22	0.22	1.17	3.97	3.88	0.05	0.06	0.08	100
A	J19/411	12	76.78	12.54	0.23	1.19	0.21	1.14	3.9	3.89	0.02	0.05	0.04	100
A	J19/408	12	76.72	12.56	0.23	1.22	0.22	1.13	3.91	3.88	0.03	0.05	0.05	100
A	J19/135	12	76.77	12.56	0.24	1.17	0.22	1.12	3.87	3.9	0.03	0.05	0.07	100
A	J19/3606	12	76.66	12.54	0.22	1.25	0.22	1.17	3.88	3.92	0.05	0.05	0.05	100
A	G17/21	13	76.72	12.57	0.23	1.15	0.22	1.16	3.89	3.88	0.03	0.06	0.08	100
A	G17/356	14	76.66	12.54	0.22	1.26	0.22	1.16	3.85	3.93	0.04	0.05	0.07	100
A	J19/342	14	76.61	12.6	0.22	1.21	0.22	1.15	3.85	3.96	0.04	0.04	0.09	100
A	J19/354	14	76.81	12.49	0.23	1.23	0.22	1.13	3.87	3.88	0.04	0.06	0.04	100
A	G17/3133	15	76.66	12.57	0.22	1.23	0.23	1.16	3.87	3.91	0.04	0.07	0.02	100
A	G17/3130	15	76.75	12.5	0.22	1.2	0.22	1.16	3.86	3.9	0.04	0.06	0.07	100
A	G17/2637	17	76.69	12.58	0.24	1.19	0.22	1.14	3.84	3.92	0.05	0.06	0.08	100
A	G17/2636	17	76.7	12.54	0.23	1.24	0.22	1.15	3.87	3.87	0.03	0.06	0.07	100
A	G17/1240	18	76.65	12.51	0.22	1.25	0.22	1.15	3.88	3.94	0.03	0.06	0.07	100
A	G17/2403	19	76.73	12.52	0.23	1.24	0.22	1.16	3.86	3.86	0.03	0.06	0.08	100
A	G17/928	22	76.57	12.53	0.23	1.23	0.22	1.16	3.95	3.92	0.03	0.06	0.09	100
A	J19/2539	24	76.75	12.45	0.22	1.22	0.22	1.13	3.94	3.89	0.04	0.05	0.07	100
Mean			76.7	12.54	0.23	1.22	0.22	1.15	3.89	3.9	0.04	0.06	0.07	100
sd			0.06	0.04	0.01	0.03	0	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0

B	J19/369	14	71.64	14.68	0.19	1.78	0.1	0.77	4.94	5.76	0.02	0.11	0	100
B	J19/3261	15	71.26	14.91	0.21	1.69	0.1	0.79	5.06	5.86	0.03	0.08	0	100
B	G17/3112	16	71.25	14.85	0.2	1.83	0.1	0.8	5.02	5.81	0.03	0.11	0.01	100
B	G17/1231	18	71.31	14.84	0.2	1.8	0.11	0.79	5.01	5.8	0.03	0.1	0.02	100
B	J19/3215	23	70.58	15.23	0.25	1.73	0.13	0.83	5.19	5.93	0.03	0.09	0	100
B	J19/3199	23	71.36	14.69	0.2	1.9	0.1	0.79	5.02	5.81	0.03	0.09	0.01	100
B	J19/2538	24	71.7	14.59	0.18	1.9	0.09	0.8	4.93	5.66	0.01	0.1	0.02	100
B	G17/2648	24	71.36	14.79	0.21	1.8	0.1	0.79	4.96	5.84	0.02	0.11	0.02	100
B	G17/949	24	71.32	14.82	0.2	1.82	0.1	0.78	5.01	5.82	0.02	0.11	0.01	100
B	J19/2521	24	71.39	14.85	0.21	1.76	0.1	0.78	4.92	5.87	0.02	0.09	0	100
B	G17/2151	25	71.3	14.9	0.21	1.73	0.09	0.76	4.98	5.9	0.03	0.1	0.01	100
B	G17/1383	26	71.5	14.86	0.2	1.8	0.1	0.79	4.99	5.83	0.02	0.1	0.01	100
Mean			71.33	14.83	0.21	1.8	0.1	0.79	5	5.82	0.02	0.1	0.01	100
sd			0.27	0.15	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.06	0	0.1	0.01	0
C	J19/3049	15	72.8	13.78	0.3	2.44	0.24	1.14	4.98	4.13	0.04	0.06	0.08	100

Table 6. Source Allocation Of Obsidian Compositional Groups.

	Si %	Al %	K %	Fe %	Ca %	Na %	Ti %	Mn %
Group A	35.85	6.64	3.24	0.85	0.82	2.88	0.14	0.04
sd	0.11	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.04
Talasea	37.20	6.34	3.46	1.05	0.95	2.67	0.16	0.05
sd	2.10	0.09	0.20	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.01	0.00
Group B	33.36	7.83	4.83	1.26	0.57	3.70	0.12	0.08
sd	0.19	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.01
Talaud	27.70	7.29	4.82	1.51	0.70	3.35	na	na
sd	1.60	0.25	0.45	0.06	0.06	0.07	na	na
Group C	34.03	7.29	3.43	1.71	0.82	3.70	0.18	0.05
sd	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.01
Group D	32.98	8.06	4.92	1.21	0.59	3.85	0.15	0.07
sd	0.12	0.09	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00

Note:

1. The mean elemental weight percent values of each compositional groups were used for comparison.
2. sd = standard deviation.
3. na = not available

Negara, and the Toyota Foundation for the financial support to conduct this research. I am very grateful to Dato' Professor Zuraina Majid, my supervisor and the director of the Centre for Archaeological Research Malaysia as well as Tuan Haji Zulkifli, the former director of Muzium Negara, Datuk Jamdin Buyong and Ms Patricia Regis, former directors of Sabah Museum, all of whom have been very supportive of this research. I also like to thank the following for their assistance in the field: Peter Koon, Peter Molijol, Osman Nassib, Affendi Rahmat, Jamain Musi, Johari Sibuli, Anthony Sintau, Uling Lakim, and Jeffrey Ating of Sabah Museum, Junaidi Rashid and Sanim Ahmad of the Department of Museums and Antiquities Malaysia, my colleagues Dr. Mohd Mohktar Saidin and Jaffrei Ignatius Abdullah, Zolkurnian Hasan of the Museum and Gallery in USM, as well as all my friends in Semporna. I owe special thanks to Professor HD Tjia of PETRONAS CARIGALI Sdn Bhd in Kuala Lumpur, Associate Professor Siti Zaayah Darus of Universiti Putra Malaysia in Serdang, and Dr. Geoffrey Davison of the World Wide Fund for Nature Malaysia in Kota Kinabalu for their help in this research. Finally, I wish to thank Dr. Robert Tykot of the University of South Florida and David Lange of Harvard University for their assistance in analysing the obsidian samples.

REFERENCES

- Ambrose, W.R., Bird, J.R., and Duerden, P.
 1981 The impermanence of obsidian sources in Melanesia. In F. Leach and J. Davidson (eds) *Archaeological studies of Pacific stone resources*, pp 1-19. BAR International Series 104.
- Bellwood, P.S.
 1985 *Prehistory of Indo-Malaysian archipelago*, New York: Academic Press.
- Bird, J.R., Ambrose, W.R., Russell, L.H. and Scott, M.D.
 1981a *The characterization of Melanesian obsidian sources and artefacts using the proton-induced gamma-ray emission (PIGME) technique*. AAEC/E510, Australian Atomic Energy Commission, Lucas Heights.
- Bird, J.R., Duerden, P., Ambrose, W.R. and Leach, B.F.
 1981b Pacific obsidian catalogue. In B.F. Leach and J.M. Davidson (eds), *Archaeological Studies of Pacific Stone Resources*. British Archaeological Reports 104, Oxford, pp 31-43.
- Bronson, B. and Glover, I.C.
 1984 Archaeological radiocarbon dates from Indonesia: a first list. *Indonesia Circle*, 34: 37-44.

Duerhan, P., Bird, J. R., Scott, M.D., Clayton, E., and Russell, L.H.
1980 PIXE-PIGME studies of the artefacts. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods* 168: 447-542.

Duerden, P., Clayton, E., Bird, J.R., Ambrose, W.R. and Leach, B.F.
1987 Obsidian composition catalogue. In W.R. Ambrose and J.M.J. Mummery (eds), *Archaeometry: Further Australasian Studies*, pp. 232-38. Department Of Prehistory, Research School of Pacific Studies, Australian National University, Canberra.

Green, R.C.

1987 Obsidian results from Lapita sites of the Reef/Santa Cruz Islands. In W.R. Ambrose and J.M.J. Mummery (eds), *Archaeometry: Further Australasian Studies*, pp. 239-49. Department of Prehistory, Research School of Pacific Studies, Australian National University, Canberra.

Green, R.C., and Bird, J.R.

1989 Fergusson Island obsidian from the D'Entrecasteaux group in the Lapita site of the Reef Santa Cruz group. *New Zealand Journal of Archaeology*, 11: 87-99.

Kirk, H.J.C.

1962 *The geology and mineral resources of the Semporna Peninsula, North Borneo*, Geological Survey Department of British Territories in Borneo, Memoir 14, Kuching.

Lee, T.C.

1970 "Semporna area, eastern Sabah", progress reports: East Malaysia, *Malaysia Geological Survey Annual Report*, Kementerian Pertanian dan Tanah, Jabatan Penyiasatan Kajibumi, Malaysia, 180-183.

Smith, I. E.M.

1982 Methods of sample analysis in archaeometry: A review. In W.Ambrose and P.Duerden (eds) *Archaeometry: An Australian Perspective*, pp 36-44. Department of Prehistory, Research School of Pacific Studies, Australian National University, Canberra.

Smith, I.E.M, Ward, G.K., and Ambrose, W.R.

1977 Geographical distribution and the characterisation of volcanic glasses in Oceania. *Archaeology and Physical Anthropology in Oceania* 12: 173-201.

Soejono, R.P.

1979 The significance of the excavations at Gilimanuk (Bali). Smith, R.B. and Watson, W. (eds). *Early South-East Asia. Essays in archaeology, history, and historical geography*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 185–198.

Summerhayes, G.R., J.R. Bird, M. Hotchkiss, C. Gosden, J. Specht. R. Torrence and R. Fullager

1993 *8th Australian Conference on Nuclear Techniques of Analysis*, Australian Institute of Nuclear Science and Engineering, pp 107–109. *geography*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 185–198.